	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/944,475	GALLIVAN, DAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter-Anthony Pappas	2671
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Peter-Anthony Pappas</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Patrick Inouye</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 September 2004	Time: <u>1 PM</u>	•
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 19-20, 31 and 57 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 9/16/04 applicant was asked to clarify the definition of the word "span." It was explained that "span" referred to the length of a line segment drawn between the respective centers of two cluster. Applicant was also asked if element 142 in Fig. 10 (con'd) was intended to reflect the previous clarification, in regards to the definition of the word "span," and the applicant agreed.

On 9/24/04 an examiner's ammendment was proposed to put the application into conditions for allowance and the applicant agreed to said proposal. See the attached Examiner's Ammendment.